Variational Inference

Ideas and Advancements

Ananyapam De

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata

A simple example

Suppose we generate our data in the following manner-

$$x \sim \mathcal{N}({\mu_0},{\sigma_0}^2)$$
 .

How to infer μ_0 and σ_0 given $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$?

A rather practical example

Now, suppose we generate our data as follows-

 $egin{aligned} & z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_0,{\sigma_0}^2) \ & x \sim Pois(e^z) \end{aligned}$

How to infer μ_0 and σ_0 given $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$?

Setup

Let **x** be the set of observed variables and **z** be the set of latent variables with joint density p(z,x). The inference problem is to compute the conditional density of the p(z|x).

We can write the conditional density as

$$p(z|x) = rac{p(z,x)}{p(x)} = rac{p(x|z)p(z)}{p(x)} \propto p(x|z)p(z)$$

Approximate Inference

The Slow Markov Chain Monte Carlo
 The OverPowered Variational Inference!



Variational Inference

Instead of sampling from the exact conditional density p(z|x), we approximate our conditional density using a "close-enough" density which is simple enough to sample from. Our problem now becomes an **optimization** problem!



But how does this help?

$$egin{aligned} &\mathrm{KL}(q(z|\phi)||p(z|x)) = \int q(z|\phi) \ln\left(rac{q(z|\phi)}{p(z|x)}
ight) dz \ &\underbrace{E_{q(z|\phi)}\left[\ln\left(rac{p(z|x)}{q(z|\phi)}
ight)
ight]}_{\mathrm{ELBO}(\phi)} = \ln(p(x)) - \mathrm{KL}(q(z|\phi)||p(z|x)) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that minimizing the KL is same as maximizing the ELBO. Hence our goal now is to maximize this ELBO!

Maximizing the ELBO

$$ext{ELBO}(\phi) = E_{q(z|\phi)} \Bigg[\ln \left(rac{p(z|x)}{q(z|\phi)}
ight) \Bigg]$$

Impressive! But ...

- Not always available in closed form
- Not scalable
- Not fast enough

Advances leading to Stochastic Variational Inference

- Gradient estimators of the ELBO
- Robbin's Monro Algorithm
- Natural Gradient

Gradient Estimators of the ELBO

$$egin{aligned}
abla_{\phi} ext{ELBO}(\phi) &= E_{q(z|\phi)} \left[
abla_{\phi} \ln q(z|\phi) \ln rac{p(x,z| heta)}{q(z|\phi)}
ight] \ &pprox rac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S}
abla_{\phi} \ln q(z^s|\phi) \ln rac{p(x,z^s| heta)}{q(z^s|\phi)} \ & ext{where} \ z^s \sim q(z|\phi) \end{aligned}$$

Robbin's Monro Algorithm

Usage

Given a function $g(\phi)$ such that

$$E(g(\phi)) = f(\phi)$$

It helps us find ϕ^* such that $f(\phi)=0$

For our case, $E_q(g(\phi)) =
abla_\phi \mathrm{ELBO}(\phi)$ where

$$g(\phi) =
abla_{\phi} \ln q(z|\phi) \ln rac{p(x,z| heta)}{q(z|\phi)}$$

Algorithm

At every iteration t, we update ϕ as follows-

$$\phi^{(t)}=\phi^{(t-1)}+
ho_t g_t\left(\phi^{(t-1)}
ight),$$

where g_t is an independent draw from $g(\phi)$ and the step sizes ho_t follow-

$$\sum
ho_t = \infty ext{ and } \sum
ho_t^2 < \infty$$

Natural Gradient

- Euclidean gradient overshoots in regions of high curvature and becomes very slow in regions of low curvature
- Riemannian metric structure instead of the Euclidean metric structure
- Direction of steepest descent for Riemannian spaces is not the same



Mapping a manifold to a flat coordinate system distorts distances.

Natural Gradient

Solution: We compute the gradient directly on the globe! Suppose we have a parameter space-

 $\Theta = \{oldsymbol{w} \in oldsymbol{R}^n\}$

$$ext{Euclidean Distance: } |doldsymbol{w}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(dw_i
ight)^2 \ ext{Riemannian Distance: } |doldsymbol{w}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,j}(w) dw_i dw_j,$$

So what do you think should be this distance metric?

Natural Gradient

The Fisher Information metric-

$$[\mathcal{I}(\phi)]_{i,j} = \mathrm{E}\left[\left(rac{\partial}{\partial \phi_i}\log f(z;\phi)
ight)\left(rac{\partial}{\partial \phi_j}\log f(z;\phi)
ight) \mid \phi
ight]$$

These three ideas together give us **Stochastic Variational Inference** which is **fast** and **scalable**!



References

- 1. Stochastic Variational Inference
- 2. Robbin's Monro Algorithm
- 3. Natural Gradient